IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PATRICIA A MCCOLM,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

FOREMOST INSURANCE CO,

٧.

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13 14

> 15 16

> 17 18

> 19

2021

2223

2425

26

2728

No. C 09-04132 SI

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME; AND ORDERING DEFENDANT TO RESUBMIT ITS LETTER BRIEF REGARDING CONDUCT AS A NOTICED MOTION

Several disputes between the parties have arisen during the discovery process. On August 16, 2010, defendant filed a "Letter Brief Re Discovery Responses Per Standing Order #2." On August 31, 2010, defendant filed a "Letter Brief re Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Further Responses to Rule 26(a) Disclosures." Finally, on September 10, 2010, defendant filed a "Letter Brief Re Motion to Prohibit Pro Per Plaintiff Patricia McColm From Engaging in Verbally Abusive Conduct."

On September 17, 2010, plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, filed an emergency ex parte application for enlargement of time to respond to defendant's August 16 and August 31 letter briefs. In her application, plaintiff also raised an objection to defendant's use of a letter brief rather than a noticed motion to address plaintiff's alleged conduct.

The Court has reviewed the September 10 letter brief, and notes that the letter brief raises issues that do not ordinarily arise during discovery and requests relief that is not ordinarily granted in discovery disputes.

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that plaintiff's application for a 45 day extension to respond to defendant's August 16 and August 31 letter briefs is GRANTED. (Document 64.) Plaintiff's responses are due October 26, 2010, and November 4, 2010, respectively.

Case 3:09-cv-04132-SI Document 146 Filed 04/14/11 Page 2 of 2

United States District CourtFor the Northern District of California

The Court also orders defendant to refile its	September 10 letter brief as a motion, noticed for
hearing on December 16, 2010, at 9:00 a.m. in Court	troom 10. Defendant's motion should be filed by
October 1, 2010. Per this Court's August 15 Order	permitting Plaintiff additional time to respond to
motions filed in this case, Plaintiff's opposition is d	ue November 5, 2010. Defendant's reply is due
November 9, 2010.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: September 20, 2010	SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge